Multi-modality Treatments for Lung Cancer

Jae Hyun Jeon

Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery Seoul National University Bundang Hospital

Contents

- Adjuvant therapy (Stage I, II and IIIA)
- Neoadjuvant therapy (Stage IIIA)
- Definitive therapy w/o surgery (Stage IIIA)

Others

Adjuvant therapy

Adjuvant Chemotherapy

- High postoperative relapse
- Most of the relapses : systemic

(lung, bone, CNS, adrenal, etc)

• Earlier proof of benefit : breast, colorectal ca..

IALT trial results (2004; stages I-III)

*The International Adjuvant Lung Cancer Trial Collaborative Group; NEJM2004;350;351-60



Adjuvant CTx : higher survival rate than observation

5y OS Adjuvant CTx: 44 5% vs Surgery only: 40 4% HR 0.86 (95% CI, 0.76-0.98; P < 0.03)

JBR.10 trial results (2005; stages 1B-II)



Adjuvant CTx : higher survival rate than observation

5y OS Adjuvant CTx: 69% vs Surgery only: 54% (P = 0.003)

Benefit from adjuvant CTx : Stage II or more

Meta-analysis

LACE Collaborative Group

J Clin Oncol 2008;26:3552-3559

Α 100 Overall Survival (%) 80 60 40 20 Chemotherapy No chemotherapy 0 2 З 5 > 6 Time From Randomization (years) Deaths / person years Years 0-3 Years 4-5 Years ≥ 6 by period Control 966 / 5,155 49 / 720 239 / 1.668 203 / 1.817 Chemotherapy 857 / 5.181 76 / 790 В 100 Disease-Free Survival (%) 80 60 40 20 Chemotherapy No chemotherapy 2 3 0 5 ≥6

Time From Randomization (years)

- stage I,II, + III (27%) \bullet
 - 5yr absolute benefit : 5.4%
- HR for stage IA = 1.40 (0.95 to 2.06)•
- HR for stage IB = 0.93 (0.78 to 1.10)۲
- HR for stage II = 0.83 (0.73 to 0.95) \bullet
- HR for stage III = 0.83 (0.72 to 0.94)۲

NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®)

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Version 4.2018 — April 26, 2018

- Stage IA Surgery -> Observe
- Stage IB Surgery -> Observe or Chemotherapy (*high risk)
- Stage II Surgery -> Chemotherapy (category 1)
- Stage IIIA Surgery -> Chemotherapy (category 1)

*High risk: PD tumors (ex. Neuroendocrine tumors, vascular invasion, wedge resection, tumors > 4 cm, VPI (+), Nx, etc

Multi-modality for N2 NSCLC ; Neoadjuvant treatment ; Definitive CCRT

N2 NSCLC

Heterogeneity of N2 disease



Treatment of Non-small Cell Lung Cancer-Stage IIIA: ACCP Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (2nd Edition) Chest 2007;135:243S

Surgery

- No role for single modality treatment
- IIIA₁, IIIA₂: resectable
 Surgery + adjuvant therapy
- IIIA₃ : potentially resectable Surgery ?
- IIIA₄ : unresectable
 No surgery

NCCN guideline



NCCN Guidelines Version 4. 2018

How can we select the surgical candidates?

Mediastinal LN staging

Chest CT

- >1 cm short axis diameter with standard CT scan
- sensitivity 57%, specificity 82%

Chest 2003;123:137S

PET

meta-analysis

Ann Intern Med 2003;139:879

15%~26% PET (-) & pathologic N2 (+)

recent single institutional study

6%~16% PET (-) & pathologic N2 (+)

EBUS + EUS

• sensitivity 91.1%, specificity 100%

Chest 2010;138:795

Prognostic factor

- Single-station > multi-station
- Clinical N factor
 - unsuspected N2 (cN0-1) > known cN2
- Complete resection > incomplete
- Anatomic site of N2
 - Level 7,8, and 9 < all other levels</p>
- Lower T stage
- Skip metastasis > pN1+
- Mediastinal dissection vs sampling?

Detterbeck, J Thorac Oncol. 2008;3:289

Prognostic factor

TABLE 2. Multivariate Analyses of Factors Predicting Poor Survival in pN2 Patients^a

		\frown				Nod	e Lev	/el		x	*			
Study	п	Multilevel	cN2	R1,2	N1+	7	5	1,2	Т3,4	Larger Size	Lower Lobe	Pneum	Adeno/ Large	Age
Andre et al.29	702	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	NS			—		< 0.0001				NS	_
Ichinose et al.46	406	< 0.0001	NS		< 0.03		—		$< 0.05^{b}$			NS	NS	0.02
Riquet et al.31	237	< 0.05	_	< 0.05	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	_
Suzuki et al.24	222	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.02			—		NS	0.001		NS	NS	NS
Miller et al.28	167	< 0.05	—	NS		< 0.05	NS	$(NS)^c$	NS			< 0.05	NS	< 0.05
Thomas et al.33	163	< 0.02	—			NS	—	NS	NS				NS	_
Tanaka et al.34	155	NS	NS	0.001			—		0.03				NS	NS
Inoue et al.91	154	0.005	<0.001				—		NS		< 0.04		0.002	0.007
Iwasaki et al.93	142	NS	_		NS	0.002	—		NS			NS	NS	NS
Vansteenkiste et al.27	140	0.03	0.04	NS		NS	NS	$(NS)^c$	0.003		NS	NS	0.03	NS
Tanaka et al.96	- 99	0.01	< 0.04		—		—		NS				NS	NS
Ohta et al.97	94	—	NS		0.03	< 0.001	—	NS	< 0.001	NS	NS		NS	NS
Prognostic value ^d		High	Mod	Mod	Mod	Mod	_		Low	Low	Low		_	Lew

Detterbeck, J Thorac Oncol. 2008;3:289

Survival



Detterbeck, J Thorac Oncol. 2008;3:2892

Unsuspected N2 (IIIA₁, IIIA₂)

Adjuvant chemotherapy

Resectable N2 (IIIA₁/IIIA₂)

- Complete resection + adjuvant chemotherapy
- Level of evidence (NCCN): category 1
- Several meta-analyses & RCTs (stage I, II, and IIIA)
- No large RCT designed to include only N2 disease

Meta-analysis

LACE Collaborative Group

J Clin Oncol 2008;26:3552-3559

Α 100 Overall Survival (%) 80 60 40 20 Chemotherapy No chemotherapy 0 2 З 5 > 6 Time From Randomization (years) Deaths / person years Years 0-3 Years 4-5 Years ≥ 6 by period Control 966 / 5,155 49 / 720 239 / 1.668 Chemotherapy 857 / 5.181 203 / 1.817 76 / 790 В 100 Disease-Free Survival (%) 80 60 40 20 Chemotherapy No chemotherapy 2 3 0 5 > 6

Time From Randomization (years)

- stage I,II, + III (27%) \bullet
 - 5yr absolute benefit : 5.4%
- HR for stage IA = 1.40 (0.95 to 2.06)•
- HR for stage IB = 0.93 (0.78 to 1.10)۲
- HR for stage II = 0.83 (0.73 to 0.95) \bullet
- HR for stage III = 0.83 (0.72 to 0.94)

RCT

ANITA trial



Lancet Oncol 2006;7:719-27

- stage IB,II, + IIIA (39%)
- vinorelbine+cisplatin vs observation
 - **5yr overall survival benefit : 8.6%**

HR for N2 = 0.60 (0.44-0.82)



Adjuvant radiation

Potential benefit

- Reduce the risk of loco-regional recurrence
- Overall survival ?

Indication

- Close or positive surgical margin
- Involvement of multiple nodal stations
- Extracapsular tumor spread

Adjuvant radiation

PORT Meta-analysis Trialists Group

Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005

- PORT vs surgery alone, 10 RCTs
- NO-1 subset : increased mortality
- N2 subset : no difference in overall survival

SEER database

J Clin Oncol 2006;24;2998

- Retrospective study
- N2 subset : superior survival rate HR = 0.86 (0.76-0.96)

Adjuvant radiation

From ANITA trial

Int. J. Radiation Oncology Biol. Phys 2008;3:695

Non-randomized sub-analysis of ANITA trial

Treatment group	pN0	pN1	pN2
Observation (%)	62.3	31.4	16.6
Observation + PORT (%)	43.8	42.6	21.3
Chemotherapy* (%)	59.7	56.3	34.0
Chemotherapy* + PORT (%)	44.4	40.0	47.4

preferably after completion of adjuvant chemotherapy !

Clinical N2 (IIIA₃)

Surgical multimodality vs CCRT (definite)

• IIIA₃ (potentially resectable)

no progression





Neoadjuvant chemotherapy

Proposed benefits

- A reduction in tumor size
 resectability
 resectability
- Early eradication of micrometastases
- Down-staging of mediastinal LN's
- In vivo test of chemosensitivity
- Better tolerability (> adjuvant chemo)

Response rate : 50~70%

Complete resectability : 50~90%

Median 5yr survival : 20%~30%

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy

Disadvantages

- Increased morbidity and mortality
- Ineffective induction regimen
- Progression of resectable disease

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy

Meta-analysis

J Thorac Oncol. 2010;5:510

• Neoadjuvant CTX + Surgery Vs Surgery alone

	NC SUR			Hazard Ratio			Hazard Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	O-E	Variance	Weight	Exp[(O-E) / V], Fixed, 95% CI	Year	Exp[(O-E) / V]	, Fixed, 95% Cl
Roth	19	28	27	32	-6.38	11.15	4.1%	0.56 [0.31, 1.01]	1998 -		
Rosell	25	30	30	30	-9.38	13.64	5.0%	0.50 [0.30, 0.85]	1999		
Zhou	206	314	235	310	-12.24	89.77	33.0%	0.87 [0.71, 1.07]	2001		_
Depierre	51	72	40	50	0.89	22.42	8.2%	1.04 [0.69, 1.57]	2002		
JCOG	28	31	24	31	2.26	12.92	4.7%	1.19 [0.69, 2.05]	2003		
Liao	32	37	24	28	4.144	15.31	5.6%	1.31 [0.79, 2.16]	2003		
Li	59	77	47	60	-10.03	26.2	9.6%	0.68 [0.46, 1.00]	2003		
Yao	154	234	171	222	-15.19	81.03	29.7%	0.83 [0.67, 1.03]	2004		
										•	
Total (95% CI)		823		763			100.0%	0.84 [0.75, 0.95]		•	
Total events	574		598								
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	2.27, df =	= 7 (P =	0.09); l ²	= 43%						05.07.1	15.2
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.78 (P = 0.005)						Favours	experimental	Favours control			

- Stage III; combined HR=0.84 (0.75 to 0.95)
- No large RCT designed to include only N2 disease

Surgical multimodality vs Chemoradiation

EORTC 08941



EORTC 08941

	RT arm (N = 165)	Surgery arm (N = 167)	Р
R0 resection		50%	
Down staging		41%	
pathologic CR		5%	
Treatment related mortality	0.7%	4%	
pneumonectomy		7%	
2yr progression-free survival (%)	24 (18 to 31)	27 (20 to 33)	NS
5yr survival (%)	14. (9 to 20)	15.7 (10 to 22)	NS

EORTC 08941

	RT arm (N = 165)	Surgery arm (N = 167)	Р
R0 resection		50%	
Down staging		41%	
pathologic CR		5%	
Treatment related mortality	0.7%	4%	
pneumonectomy		7%	
2yr progression-free survival (%)	24 (18 to 31) 🕿	27 (20 to 33)	NS
5yr survival (%)	14. (9 to 20) 🕿	15.7 (10 to 22)	NS



	CT/RT/S (N = 202)	CT/RT (N = 194)	Р
R0 resection	71%		
Down staging	38%		
pathologic CR	12%		
Treatment related mortality	8%	2%	
lobectomy	1%		
pneumonectomy	26%		
5yr progression-free survival (%)	22.4	11.1	0.017
5yr survival (%)	27.2	20.3	NS
5yr survival (%, lobectomy matched)	36	18	0.002

	<u>CT/RT/S</u> (N = 202)	CT/RT (N = 194)	Р
R0 resection	71%		
Down staging	38%		
pathologic CR	12%		
Treatment related mortality	8%	2%	
lobectomy	1%		
pneumonectomy	26%		
5yr progression-free survival (%)	22.4	> 11.1	0.017
5yr survival (%)	27.2 N	IS 20.3	NS
5yr survival (%, lobectomy matched)	36	> 18	0.002

OS by treatment arm

OS by matched lobectomy subset



CTx + RT with or without resection (preferably lobectomy) Treatment options for N2 disease.

Conclusions

 Multidisciplinary evaluation (which includes a thoracic surgeon) is recommended before embarking on definitive treatment.

• Surgery alone is not enough to cure the majority of patients even with resectable disease.

Need of multimodality approach to the treatment.